UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,163	03/31/2004	Christopher J. Hansen	BP3023	8185
	7590 03/04/200 RRISON & MARKISO	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1607		YUN, EUGENE		
AUSTIN, TX 78716-0727			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		10/815,163	HANSEN ET AI	HANSEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		EUGENE YUN	2618					
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	s communication app	ears on the cover sh	neet with the correspondence	address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FRC - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended p Any reply received by the Office later than I earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	M THE MAILING DA the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e of this communication. e maximum statutory period w eriod for reply will, by statute, hree months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMI 36(a). In no event, however, rill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thicome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communica	tion(s) filed on 09 De	ecember 2008						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	`	action is non-final.						
<b>'</b>	<i>,</i> —							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	•	, ,	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21 and 33-39</u> is	lare pending in the	application						
·— · · · ———	. •	• •	nn					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
6) Claim(s) is/are reje	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objection = 0.00   1.24 and 23.30 a		an and/an alastian n	a autina na a nt					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21 and 33-39</u> a	re subject to restriction	on and/or election r	equirement.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objecte	d to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)∏ acce	epted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request the	at any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)					
Replacement drawing sheet(	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Pap 5) No	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application er:					

Application/Control Number: 10/815,163 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-16 and 33-39, drawn to receiving and producing an ingoing downconvertied signal, classified in class 455, subclass 73.
  - Claims 17-21, drawn to receiving and downconverting low frequency signals, classified in class 455, subclass 309.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not contain the specifics of the device as the subcombination does. The subcombination has separate utility such as low frequency signals and a moving average filter.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if

Application/Control Number: 10/815,163

Page 3

Art Unit: 2618

any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
  - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
  - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
  - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Page 4

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. A telephone call was made to James Harrison on 2/26/2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. The examiner was unable to get in touch with Mr. Harrison.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,163 Page 5

Art Unit: 2618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EUGENE YUN whose telephone number is (571)272-7860. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eugene Yun Examiner Art Unit 2618

/Eugene Yun/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618 /E. Y./ Examiner, Art Unit 2618